

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

CYBER NINJAS, INC.,)	Arizona Supreme Court
)	No. CV-21-0185-PR
Petitioner,)	
)	Court of Appeals
v.)	Division One
)	No. 1 CA-SA 21-0132
HON. RANDALL WARNER, JUDGE OF)	
THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE)	Maricopa County
OF ARIZONA, in and for the)	Superior Court
County of Maricopa,)	No. LC2021-001800-001
)	
Respondent Judge,)	
)	
PHOENIX NEWSPAPERS, INC., an)	
Arizona corporation, and KATHY)	
TULUMELLO; ARIZONA STATE SENATE,)	
a public body of the State of)	FILED 07/29/2021
Arizona; KAREN FANN, in her)	
official capacity as President)	
of the Arizona State Senate;)	
WARREN PETERSEN, in his official)	
capacity as the Chairman of the)	
Arizona Senate Committee on the)	
Judiciary; SUSAN ACEVES, in her)	
official capacity as Secretary)	
of the Arizona State Senate,)	
)	
Real Parties in Interest.)	
)	

O R D E R

Petitioner/Defendant Cyber Ninjas, Inc., has initiated a proceeding styled as a Petition for Special Action pertaining to the Court of Appeals case listed above. The petition challenges Administrative Order 2021-109 issued by Chief Justice Brutinel for the Court on July 14, 2021.

Pursuant to Rule 8(b), Arizona Rules of Special Action Procedure, "In a civil matter, grant or denial of special action relief by the Court of Appeals, including a refusal to take jurisdiction in the case, shall be reviewed by the Supreme Court only upon petition for review pursuant to Rule

23, Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure.” *State ex rel. Neely v. Sherrill In & For County of Pima*, 168 Ariz. 469, 471 n.1 (1991) (stating, “Although we have accepted jurisdiction over these cases as appropriate special action requests, we emphasize that a petition for review normally is the proper and most efficient method to seek this court's review of the court of appeals' grant or denial of special action relief. See [R]ule 8(b), Arizona Rules of Procedure for Special Actions. A party may file a new special action petition in this court only when ‘exceptional circumstances’ make a petition for review an inadequate alternative.”).

Upon consideration:

IT IS ORDERED directing the Clerk to open this matter as a petition for review to be considered in accordance with ARCAP Rule 23.

DATED this 29th day of July, 2021.

/s/
William G. Montgomery
Duty Justice

TO:

Dennis I Wilenchik
John D Wilenchik
Jordan C Wolff
Hon. Randall H Warner
David Jeremy Bodney
Craig C Hoffman
Kory A Langhofer
Thomas J Basile
Amy M Wood
pm